

Statics of filaments and magnetostatics of currents: Ampère tension and the vector potential

O. Costa de Beauregard

Laboratoire de Physique Théorique, Tour 22/12, 4ème Étage, Box 142, 4 Place Jussieu, 75252 Paris Cedex 05, France

Received 28 September 1993; accepted for publication 6 October 1993

Communicated by J.P. Vigiér

The challenge of understanding Saumont's experimental findings has motivated this derivation of magnetostatics from the expression $T=iA$ of the stress tension along a stiff current loop. From the integral equivalence of the Ampère and Laplace forces we derive the existence of a repulsive tension $T=ki^2$ inside a straight current.

1. Magnetostatics of current loops derived from the statics of filaments

As is well known, the force density f along a (possibly stiff) filament of line element $d\mathbf{l}$ is related to the tension T via

$$f=dT/d\mathbf{l}; \quad (1)$$

also, per line element the torque

$$dC=T \times d\mathbf{l} \quad (2)$$

is applied; finally (think for example of a coil-spring holding a weight in a gravity field) a potential energy

$$dW=T \cdot d\mathbf{l} \quad (3)$$

is stored per line element.

At the extremities P and Q of a filament in static equilibrium, tensions T_P and T_Q , counterbalancing the force and torque densities distributed along it, build up a representation of the corresponding *wrench*, or combined force and torque [1].

Consider then a rigid current loop of intensity i , at equilibrium with its magnetostatic field; if ideally severed at two points P and Q, the opposite wrenches applied to the complementary segments PQ and QP are represented by paired opposite tensions $\pm T_P$ and $\pm T_Q$. We will show that these are tangent to the filament, and *exactly* expressed in the form

$$T=iA, \quad (4)$$

where A denotes the vector potential in the Ampère gauge,

$$dA=r^{-1}i d\mathbf{l}. \quad (5)$$

Postponing the proof, we now use these two premisses for a concise derivation of the well known formulas of the magnetostatics of current loops.

Substituting (4) in (3) we get the gauge dependent *differential* expression of the magnetic energy

$$dW=iA \cdot d\mathbf{l}, \quad (6)$$

the closed integral of which is the familiar $I\Phi$; substituting (5) we get the action-at-a-distance style expression of the mutual energy of two current elements,

$$d_2W=r^{-1}ii' d\mathbf{l} \cdot d\mathbf{l}', \quad (7)$$

a factor $\frac{1}{2}$ intruding in the double summations.

From (1), (4), (5) and the identity $\partial r^{-1} = -r^{-3}\mathbf{r}$ we get

$$d_2T = \pm r^{-3}ii' (d\mathbf{l} \cdot d\mathbf{l}') \mathbf{r} \quad (8)$$

as expressing the *directly opposite shortened Ampère forces* felt by two current elements, well known to be *integrally equivalent to the Laplace forces*.

Finally, inserting (4) into (2) we get the gauge dependent expression of the torque applied to a current element,

$$dC=iA \times d\mathbf{l}, \quad (9)$$

and, substituting (5), the action-at-a-distance expression of the *opposite torques* felt by two current elements,

$$d_2C = \pm r^{-1} i i' dl \times dl' . \quad (10)$$

Linear and angular action–reaction opposition between interacting current loops at mutual rest is thus expressed in instantaneous action-at-a-distance style.

Now we apply these formulas to two complementary arcs PQ and QP of the same circuit.

In the zero cross section limit, the integral of formula (5) diverges; the familiar cut-off procedure uses a phenomenological self-induction coefficient, a pure number k such that $dW/dl = ki^2$; the r^{-1} dependence of A to its source entails that the Ampère gauged A is tangent to the circuit, with the value $A = ki$. Thus ki^2 is the common value of the linear energy density $w = dW/dl$ and of the longitudinal self stress-tension $T = iA$; *this definitely proves the existence of a longitudinal repulsive stress tension $T = ki^2$ along any current carrying wire*. It is of course quite usual that a trapped energy density exerts an equivalent pressure upon its container.

So, *the paired opposite tensions $\pm T_P$ and $\pm T_Q$ applied at severance points of a current loop are tangent to it; if expressed in the form iA , no arbitrariness is allowed, so A must be taken in the Ampère gauge*. This is exactly similar to what happens in, say, the electron self-energy problem, where the electric potential's Coulomb gauge *must* be used for expressing the self-energy $e^2/2r$.

This completes the proof alluded to after formulas (4) and (5).

2. Hairpin style devices and Saumont's [2] experiments

Consider the case where the segment PQ is a "hairpin" connecting two mercury containing cups inserted in a current loop. In Ampère's experiment, where the cups are at the ends of parallel "rails" [3], the "hairpin" is repelled by the Laplace–Lorentz force. *The point is that the paired rails do feel the opposite reaction, that is, the Ampère compression*. This is confirmed in an experiment of Graneau [3].

A quite significant experiment would use a Z-shaped rather than a U-shaped circuit, the hairpin bridging cups at the ends of antiparallel rails, and a torsion

balance measuring the torque. The prediction is that *this torque must accentuate rather than flatten the Z*.

Saumont's device can be seen as a refinement of Ampère's one. Very consistent measurements using it, including counter tests, do display the repulsive Ampère tension in the form $T = ki^2$, and show that it is longitudinal inside the mercury. The number k comes out as $k \approx 2.45$.

3. Concluding remarks

(1) Read in terms of the statics of filaments, the equations of the magnetostatics of current loops entail that the *physical stress tension T* along a (possibly stiff) conducting wire *exactly* is expressed as iA , i denoting the intensity and A the vector potential in the Ampère gauge. *This is exactly similar to what occurs in the electron self-energy problem: as expressed in terms of the electric potential V , the correct value of the self-energy $e^2/2r$ obtains iff the Coulomb gauge is selected*.

Other examples [4] are easily adduced, all under the heading: *electromagnetic gauge selected as an integration condition*.

(2) The well known integral equivalence between the Ampère force proper, or a shortened expression of it, and the standard Laplace–Lorentz force, is thus confirmed; but it turns out that *the concept of an Ampère tension $T = iA$ is much preferable*.

The said equations definitely entail *the existence of a longitudinal repulsive stress tension $T = ki^2$ along any current carrying wire, this being the integral rendering of the differential Laplace–Lorentz force*.

(3) Saumont's [2] experiments, viewed as improving Ampère's so-called "hairpin experiment", do display the repulsive Ampère tension; also, they exemplify "selection of the electromagnetic gauge as an integration condition" – for expressing action–reaction opposition.

References

- [1] B. Hoffmann, *About vectors* (Prentice-Hall, Englewood Cliffs, NJ, 1966) pp. 83, 84.
- [2] R. Saumont, *Phys. Lett. A* 28 (1968) 365, and private communications.
- [3] P. Graneau, *J. Phys. D* 20 (1987) 391.
- [4] O. Costa de Beauregard, *Found. Phys.* 22 (1992) 1485.